arXiv:0704.0215v1 [math.PR] 2 Apr 2007

The exact asymptotic of the collision time tail distribution for independent Brownian particles with different drifts.

Zbigniew Puchała[1,2,3] and Tomasz Rolski[1,2]

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In this note we consider the time of the collision τ for n independent Brownian motions $X_t^1, \ldots, X_t^n$ with drifts $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, each starting from $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, where $x_1 < \ldots < x_n$. We show the exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = Ch(\boldsymbol{x})t^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma t}(1 + o(1))$ as $t \to \infty$ and identify $C, h(\boldsymbol{x}), \alpha, \gamma$ in terms of the drifts.

Keywords: Brownian motion with drift, collision time.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary: 60J65.

---

[1] Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

[2] This work was partially supported by a Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge Fellowship of the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme: Programme HANAP under contract number MTKD-CT-2004-13389.

[3] This work was partially supported by This work was partially supported by KBN Grant N201 049 31/3997 (2007).

1 Introduction and results

Let $W = \{\boldsymbol{y} : y_1 < \ldots < y_n\}$ be the Weyl chamber. Consider $\boldsymbol{X}_t = (X_t^1, \ldots, X_t^n)$, wherein coordinates are independent Brownian motions with unit variance parameter, drift vector $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and starting point $\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{x} \in W$. In this paper we study the collision time τ, which is the exit time of $\boldsymbol{X}_t$ from the Weyl chamber, i.e.

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \boldsymbol{X}_t \notin W\} .$$

For identical drifts $a_1 = \ldots = a_n$, say $a_i \equiv 0$, the celebrated Karlin-McGregor formula states (see [7])

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t; \boldsymbol{X}_t \in \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}) = \det\left[p_t(x_i, y_j)\right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} , \tag{1.1}$$

where $p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{2t}}$, which yields the tail distribution of τ:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = \int_W \det\left[p_t(x_i, y_j)\right] \ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} .$$

For the use of Karlin-McGregor formula it is essential that processes $X_t^1, \ldots, X_t^n$ are independent copies of the same strong Markov, with skip-free realizations process, starting at $t = 0$ from $\boldsymbol{x} \in W$. In this case the asymptotic of $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$ was first studied by Grabiner [5] (for the Brownian case) (see also proofs by Doumerc and O'Connell [4] and Puchała [9]) Later Puchała & Rolski [10]) showed that this asymptotic is also true for the Poisson and continuous time random walk case. The above mentioned asymptotics is:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \sim D\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})t^{-n(n-1)/4}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Delta(\boldsymbol{x}) = \det\left[\left(x_i^{(j-1)}\right)_{i,j=1}^n\right]$ is the Vandermonde determinant, and

$$D = (2\pi)^{-n/2} c_n \int_W e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{y}|^2}{2}} \Delta\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) d\boldsymbol{y} , \tag{1.3}$$

for $t \to \infty$. Here and below $1/c_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} j!$.

In this note we study the same problem, however for Brownian motions with different drifts. For this we derive first, in Section 2, a formula for $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$ by the change of measure. It is apparent that possible results must depend on the form of drift vector $\boldsymbol{a}$. For example we can analyze all cases for $n = 2$, because in this case the collision equals to the first passage to zero of the Brownian process $X_t^2 - X_t^1$, for which the density function is known (see e.g. [3]). Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = \int_{t/2}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi} s^{3/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x + as)^2}{2s}\right] \mathrm{d}s ,$$

where $x = x_2 - x_1$ and $a = a_2 - a_1$. This yields

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{5/2}}{a^2\sqrt{2\pi}} x e^{ax} t^{-3/2} e^{-ta^2/4} \ (1 + o(1)) , & a_1 > a_2 \\ \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ (1 + o(1)) , & a_1 = a_2 \\ 1 - e^{-ax} + o(1) & a_1 < a_2 . \end{cases}$$

For general n the situation is much more complex and different scenarios are possibles. For example the drifts can be diverging and then $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$ tends to a positive constant, which the situation was analyzed by Biane *et al* [2]. Another case is when all drifts are equal, in which the case the probability $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$ is polynomially decaying, as it was found by Grabiner [5]. However there are various situations when the probabilities are exponentially decaying with polynomial prefactors. The full characterization depends on a concept of the stable partition of the drift vector, which the notion is introduced in Section 3. In Section 4 we state the main theorem, which shows all possible exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$ in from of $Ch(\boldsymbol{x})t^{-\alpha}e^{-\gamma t}$, where formulas for C,α and γ are given in terms of the stable partition of the drift vector.

2 Formula for $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t)$.

We note our basic probabilistic space with natural history filtration $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}})$ and consider on it process $\boldsymbol{X}_t$ as defined in the Introduction. Unless otherwise stated we tacitly assume that $\boldsymbol{x} \in W$. We start off a lemma on the change of measure for the Brownian case, which the proof can be found for example in Asmussen [1], Theorem 3.4. Let $M_t = e^{<\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{X}_t>}/\mathbb{E}e^{<\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{X}_t>}$ be a Wald martingale. For a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ its restriction to $\mathcal{F}_t$ we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}|t}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ be a probability measure obtained by the change of measure $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ with the use of martingale M_t, that is defined by a family of measures $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{x}|t} = M_t \,\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}|t}$, $t \geq 0$. For the theory we refer e.g. to Section XIII.3 in [1]

Lemma 2.1 *If $\boldsymbol{X}_t$ is a Brownian motion with drift $\boldsymbol{a}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, then this process is a Brownian motion with drift $\boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$.*

The sought for formula for the tail distribution of the collision time is given in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) &= \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-<\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{x}>-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} \int_W e^{-||\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{a}\sqrt{t}||^2/2} \det[e^{x_i y_j/\sqrt{t}}] \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We use $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = -\boldsymbol{a}$ to eliminate the drift under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$. Thus $\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}[M_t^{-1}; \tau > t]$. Now by Karlin-McGregor formula (1.1) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}[e^{<\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{X}_t>}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}}e^{<-\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{X}_t>}; \tau > t] \\ &= e^{<-\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{x}>-||\boldsymbol{a}||^2 t/2} \int_{\boldsymbol{y}\in W} e^{<\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{y}>} \det[p_t(x_i, y_j)] \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \,, \end{aligned}$$

and next, algebraic manipulations yield (2.4).

□

In the paper we use the following vector notations. For a vector $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote $\boldsymbol{a}_{[i,j]} = (a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_j)$ and $\bar{a}_{[i,j]} = (a_i + a_{i+1} + \ldots + a_j)/(j-i+1)$. We also use $\boldsymbol{a}_{(i,j]} = (a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_j)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{(i,j)} = (a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{j-1})$. By $\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$, where $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ and $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_m)$ we denote $\prod_{j=1}^m z_j^{k_j}$.

3 Stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Our aim is to make a suitable partition

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_{\nu_1})(a_{\nu_1+1}, \ldots, a_{\nu_1+\nu_2}), \ldots, (a_{\nu_1+\ldots+\nu_{q-1}+1}, \ldots, a_{\nu_1+\ldots+\nu_q}) \ . \tag{3.5}$$

of $\boldsymbol{a}$, where $\nu_i > 0$. For short we denote $m_1 = \nu_1, m_2 = \nu_1 + \nu_2, \ldots, m_q = \nu_1 + \ldots + \nu_q = n$. We also set $m_0 = 0$.

We say that sequence $\boldsymbol{a}$ is *irreducible* if

$$\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{a}_{[1;1]} & > & \bar{a}_{[2;n]} \\ \bar{a}_{[1;2]} & > & \bar{a}_{[3;n]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{[1;n-1]} & > & \bar{a}_{[n;n]} \end{array}\right\} \ . \tag{3.6}$$

Suppose we have a partition defined by $m_1, \ldots, m_q$. The mean of the i^{th} sub-vector is denoted by $f^i = \bar{a}_{(m_{i-1};m_i]}$. Furthermore we define a vector $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ by

$$f_i = f^k, \quad \text{if} \quad m_{k-1} < i \leq m_k \ .$$

It is said that partition (3.5) of vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ is *stable* if

$$f^1 \leq f^2 \leq \ldots \leq f^q \tag{3.7}$$

and each vector $\boldsymbol{a}_{(m_{i-1},m_i]}$ is irreducible ($i = 1, \ldots, q$). Remark that a stable partition is defined if we know $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_q)$ for which (3.7) hold and each $\boldsymbol{a}_{(m_{i-1},m_i]}$ is irreducible ($i = 1, \ldots, q$). In the sequel, for a given stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$, characters $q, \boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{m}$ are reserved for it.

Consider now $f_{m_1}, f_{m_2}, \ldots, f_{m_q}$ and define a subsequence $\boldsymbol{m}^{'} = (m'_1, \ldots, m'_{q'})$ of $\boldsymbol{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_q)$ as follows. Let q' be the number of strict inequalities in $f^1 \leq f^2 \leq \ldots \leq f^q$ plus 1. Furthermore we define inductively by $m'_0 = 0$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, q' - 1$

$$m'_i = \inf\{m_j > m'_{i-1} : \ m_j \in \boldsymbol{m}, f_{m_j} < f_{m_j+1}\} \ .$$

and finally we set $m_{q'} = n$. We also define a subsequence of indices $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{q'}$ inductively by $i_0 = 0$ and

$$i_k = \inf\{j > i_{k-1} : \ f_{m_j} < f_{m_j+1}\}.$$

Hence we have

$$f_{m'_1} < f_{m'_2} < \cdots < f_{m'_{q'}}.$$

In this case we say that $(m'_1, \ldots, m'_{q'})$ is a strong representation of the stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$ and q', $(m'_1, \ldots, m'_{q'})$ are characters reserved for it. Set $\nu'_i = m'_i - m'_{i-1}$, $(i = 1, \ldots, q')$.

Example 1 Suppose that $\boldsymbol{a} = (3, 1, 2, 5, 1)$. Then $q = 3$ and $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 3, m_3 = 5$ define the stable partition $(3, 1)(2)(5, 1)$ with means $f^1 = 2, f^2 = 2, f^3 = 3$. furthermore $q' = 2$, $m'_1 = 3, m'_2 = 5$ and $i_1 = 2, i_2 = 5$.

Proposition 3.1 *For each vector $\boldsymbol{a}$, there exists its unique stable partition.*

Before we state a proof of Proposition 3.1 we prove few lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 *If* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ *is irreducible, then*

$$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{a}_{[1;1]} & > & f_n & > & \bar{a}_{[2;n]} \\ \bar{a}_{[1;2]} & > & f_n & > & \bar{a}_{[3;n]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{[1;n-1]} & > & f_n & > & \bar{a}_{[n;n]} \end{array}\right\} . \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. f_n is a nontrivial weighted mean of every pair $\bar{a}_{[1;i]}$ and $\bar{a}_{[i+1;n]}$.

□

Lemma 3.3 *In a stable partition, for each element* $\boldsymbol{a}_{(m_{i-1};m_i]}$

$$\bar{a}_{(m_{i-1};m_{i-1}+k]} \geq f_{m_i} \ .$$

Proof. The case $k \leq m_i - m_{i-1}$ follows from Lemma 3.2. Clearly for $k = m_i - m_{i-1}$ we have equality. Consider now $k > m_i - m_{i-1}$. Than $\bar{a}_{(m_{i-1};m_{i-1}+k]}$ is a weighted mean of f_{m_i} and $\bar{a}_{(m_i;m_i+k-(m_i-m_{i-1})]}$ and the later term is greater or equal than f_{m_i} by (3.7) and (3.8).

□

In the next lemma we consider two vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. The corresponding f-s are f_{n_1} and f_{n_2} respectively. We consider a situation of creating a new vector $(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2) = (a_1 \ldots, a_{n_1+n_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that* $\boldsymbol{a}_1$ *and* $\boldsymbol{a}_2$ *are irreducible and* $f_{n_1} > f_{n_2}$. *Then vector* $(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2)$ *is irreducible.*

Proof. Recall that $(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2) = (a_1 \ldots, a_{n_1+n_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$. Suppose $1 \leq k \leq n_1$. By Lemma 3.2 we have $\bar{a}_{[1;k-1]} > f_{n_1} > \bar{a}_{[k;n_1]}$, also $\bar{a}_{[1;k-1]} > f_{n_1} > f_{n_2}$. Hence $\bar{a}_{[1;k-1]} > \bar{a}_{[k;n_1+n_2]}$ becasue $\bar{a}_{[k;n_1+n_2]}$ is a weighted mean of $\bar{a}_{[k;n_1]}$ and f_{n_2}. Suppose now $n_1 < k$. Then $\bar{a}_{[1;k-1]}$ is a weighted mean of f_{n_1} and $\bar{a}_{[n_1+1,k]}$ and both by Lemma 3.2 are greater than $\bar{a}_{[k;n_1+n_2]}$, which completes the proof.

□

Proof of Proposition 3.1. The existence part is by induction with respect n. For $n = 2$ we have two situations

1. if $a_1 \leq a_2$, than $q = 2$ with $m_1 = 1,\ m_2 = 2$ is a stable partition,
2. if $a_1 > a_2$, than $q = 1$ with $m_1 = 2$ is a stable partition.

Assume that there exists a *stable* partition with q partition vectors of a vector $\boldsymbol{a} \in R^n$. We add a new element a_{n+1} at the end of vector $\boldsymbol{a}$ to create new one $(\boldsymbol{a}, a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1})$.

We have two situations.

1. If $a_{n+1} \geq f^q$ than in a stable partition a_{n+1} is alone in the $q+1$ partition vector.

2. If $a_{n+1} < f^q$, than we proceed inductively as follow. We use Lemma 3.4 with $\boldsymbol{a}_1 = \boldsymbol{a}_{[m_{q-1};m_q]}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_2 = (a_{n+1})$ and let f^q and $f^{q+1} = a_{n+1}$ are means of these partition vectors. In result $(\boldsymbol{a}_{(m_{q-1};m_q]}, a_{n+1})$ form an irreducible vector, for which we have to check whether condition (3.7) holds. If yes, then we end with a stable partition, otherwise we join the $q-1$ partition vector with the new q partition vectors and repeat the procedure. In the worst case we end up with one partition vector.

For the uniqueness proof , suppose that we have two different *stable* partitions: $m^1_1 < m^1_2 < \cdots < m^1_{q_1}$ and $m^2_1 < m^2_2 < \cdots < m^2_{q_2}$. The means of fs are $(f^1)^1, \ldots, (f^1)^{q_1}$

for the first partition vector and $(f^2)^1, \ldots, (f^2)^{q_2}$ for the second respectively. Since partitions are supposely different, there exists i such that $m^1_i \neq m^2_i$. We take the minimal i with this property and without loss of generality we can assume $m^2_i > m^1_i$. Set $k = m^2_i - m^1_i$. We have to analaze the following cases.

1. $(m^2_i = m^1_{i+1})$. We have
$$\bar{a}^2_{[m^2_{i-1}+1;k]} > \bar{a}^2_{[k+1;m^2_i]}$$
On the other hand $(f^1)^i = \bar{a}_{[m^1_{i-1}+1;m^1_i]} = \bar{a}_{[m^2_{i-1}+1;m^1_i]} > \bar{a}_{[m^1_i+1;m^2_i]} = \bar{a}_{[m^1_i+1;m^1_{i+1}]} = (f^2)^i$ and this contradics with $(f^1)^i \leq (f^1)^{i+1}$.
2. $(m^2_i > m^1_{i+1})$. We have $\bar{a}_{[m^2_{i-1}+1;m^1_i;m^1_i+1;m^1_{i+1}]}$ and by Lemma 3.3
$$(f^1)^i = \bar{a}_{[m^2_{i-1}+1;m^1_i]} > \bar{a}_{[m^1_i+1;m^2_i]} \geq (f^1)^{i+1} ,$$
which is a contradiction.
3. $(m^2_i < m^1_{i+1})$. We have by Lemma 3.3
$$(f^1)^i = \bar{a}_{[m^1_{i-1}+1;m^1_i]} > \bar{a}_{[m^1_i+1;m^2_i]} \geq (f^1)^{i+1} ,$$
which is a contradiction.

The proof is completed.

□

Remark The stable partition can be obtained by considering the following simple deterministic dynamical system. We have n particles starting from $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$. The i^{th} particle has speed a_i. Each particle moves with a constant speed on the real line until it collides with one of its neighboring particle (if it happens). Then both the particles coalesce and from this time on they move with the proportional speed which is the mean of speed of colliding particles, and so on. Ultimately the particles will form never colliding groups, which are the same as in the stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$. Notice that resulted grouping do not depend on a starting position $\boldsymbol{x}$.

4 The theorem and examples.

We begin introducing some notations. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{a}$ has a stable partition with characteristics $q, (m_i), q', (m'_i)$ respectively. In the sequel we will use the following notations:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{q} \left(\frac{1}{\nu_\ell} \sum_{m_{l-1} < u < v \leq m_l} (a_u - a_v)^2 \right) , \tag{4.9}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q'} \binom{\nu'_j}{2} + (n-q) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \binom{\nu_j}{2}\right), \tag{4.10}$$

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad = \quad e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{\sum_{l=1}^{q'} (j - m'_{l-1} - 1) \mathbf{1}_{\{m'_{l-1} < j \le m'_l\}}} \right] . \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover we define a function

$$\begin{aligned} & I(\boldsymbol{a},t) \\ & = \int_{W-\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l}(z_u - z_v)(a_v - a_u)\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{q'} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{j-1};m'_j]})\,\mathrm{d}\,\boldsymbol{z} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Remark that from Lemma 5.1 it will follow

$$\frac{1}{\nu_l} \sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l} (a_u - a_v)^2 = \sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l} (a_u - \bar{a}^l)^2,$$

where

$$\bar{a}^l = \frac{1}{\nu_l} \sum_{u=m_{l-1}+1}^{m_l} a_u .$$

Using this notation we now state a proposition which is useful for calculations in some cases.

Proposition 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \quad = \quad & (2\pi)^{-n/2} \prod_{j=1}^{q'} c_{\nu'_j} e^{-\gamma t} t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{q'}\binom{\nu'_j}{2}} \\ & \times e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det\left[e^{x_k f_j} x_k^{\sum_l^{q'} (j - m_{i_{l-1}} - 1)\mathbf{1}_{\{m_{i_{l-1}} < j \le m_{i_l}\}}} \right] \\ & \times I(\boldsymbol{a},t)\ (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Remark that formula (4.13) does not give us straightforward asymptotic because integral $I(\boldsymbol{a},t)$ depends on t. However in some cases this dependence vanishes and this is why Proposition 4.1 can be sometimes useful.

The next theorem gives us asymptotic for all cases.

Theorem 4.2 *For some C given below, as $t \to \infty$*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \quad = \quad C h(\boldsymbol{x}) t^{-\alpha} e^{-\gamma t}(1 + o(1)),$$

γ, α, and $h(\boldsymbol{x})$ are defined in (4.9)*,*(4.10)*,*(4.11) *respectively.*

To show C we need few more definitions. Let

$$H(s_1,\dots,s_\ell)=\prod_{1\le i\le j\le \ell+1}(s_i+\ldots+s_{j-1}). \tag{4.14}$$

Define now

$$C=A_1\times A_2\times A_3\ , \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$A_1=(2\pi)^{-n/2}\sqrt{2\pi n}\prod_{j=1}^{q'}c_{\nu'_j},$$

$$A_2=\underset{\xi_i>0:i\notin\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}}{\int\cdots\int}\quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l}(\xi_u+\cdots+\xi_{v-1})(a_u-a_v)\right)}$$

$$\times\prod_{i=1}^{q}H\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{i-1};m_i-1)}\right)\prod_{i\notin\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}}\mathrm{d}\xi_i\ ,$$

and

$$A_3=\underset{\xi_i>0:i\in\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}\setminus\{m_{l_1},\dots,m_{l_{q'}}\}}{\int\cdots\int}\quad\underset{\xi_i>-\infty:i\in\{m_{l_1},\dots,m_{l_{q'}}\}}{\int\cdots\int}\quad e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k,l\in\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}}S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l\right)}$$

$$\times\prod_{k=0}^{q-1}\prod_{\substack{i:\{i,i+1,\dots,i+k\}\\ \in\{1,\dots,q\}\setminus\{l_1,\dots,l_{q'}\}}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}\xi_{m_{i+j}}\right)^{\nu_i\nu_{i+k+1}}\prod_{i\in\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}}\mathrm{d}\xi_i\ ,$$

where $S_{kl}=(n-2)k$ for $k\le l$ and $S_{kl}=S_{lk}$. In the remaining part of this section we diplay some special cases.

Example 2 ($a_1=a_2=\cdots=a_n$) This is no drift case. Here $q=n$ and $m_1=1,m_2=2,\dots,m_n=n$, also $q'=1$ and $m'_1=n$. In result $f_{m_1}=a_1,\dots,f_{m_n}=a_n$. Let a be the common value of the drift. Using Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau>t) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2}c_n e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>}\det\left[e^{x_k f_j}x_k^{j-1}\right]t^{-\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}}\\ &\quad\times\int_{W-\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}}e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}{2}}\Delta_n(\boldsymbol{z}_{[1;n]})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\,(1+o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

First we notice that since all the coordinates in vector $\boldsymbol{f}$ are the same, we have

$$\det\left[e^{x_k f_j}x_k^{j-1}\right]=e^{<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{f}>}\det\left[x_k^{j-1}\right]=e^{<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>}\Delta(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Furthermore $W-\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}=W$ because $y_1<y_2<\cdots<y_n$ if and only if $y_1+a\sqrt{t}<y_2+a\sqrt{t}<\cdots<y_n+a\sqrt{t}$. Finally we write

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau>t)\ =\ C\,h(\boldsymbol{x})\,t^{-\alpha}\,(1+o(1)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2},\\ h(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \Delta_n(\boldsymbol{x}),\\ C &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} c_n \int_W e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}{2}} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}.\end{aligned}$$

Before we state the next example we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 *If* $\boldsymbol{a} \in W$*, then* $\{W - \boldsymbol{a}t\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *as* $t \to \infty$*.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{a} \in W$. We show that for all $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $s > 0$, such that for all $t > s$, $\boldsymbol{y} \in \{W - \boldsymbol{a}t\}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We note $b_i = y_{i+1} - y_i$ and $d_i = a_{i+1} - a_i$. Condition $\boldsymbol{a} \in W$ implies $d_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. We take $s = \max\{-b_i, 0\}/\min\{d_i\}$ and $t > s$. Set $z_i = y_i + ta_i$, then we get that $\boldsymbol{z} \in W$, because

$$z_{i+1} - z_i = y_{i+1} + ta_{i+1} - y_i - ta_i = b_i + td_i > b_i + sd_i \geq b_i + \max\{-b_i, 0\} \geq 0.$$

Thus for $t > s$ we have $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{z} - t\boldsymbol{a}$, where $\boldsymbol{z} \in W$, and so $\boldsymbol{y} \in \{W - ta\}$ for all $t > s$.

□

Example 3 ($a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$) This is the case of non-colliding drifts. Here $q = q' = n$, $m_1 = m'_1 = 1, \ldots, m_n = m'_n = n$, $f_{m_1} = a_1, \ldots, f_{m_n} = a_n$. Using Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \;=\; (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det\left[e^{x_k a_j}\right] \int_{W - \boldsymbol{a}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\;(1 + o(1)).$$

By Lemma 4.3 we have that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{W - \boldsymbol{a}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} = (2\pi)^{n/2}.$$

Finally we write

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det\left[e^{x_k a_j}\right].$$

This result was derived earlier by Biane *et al* [2]

Example 4 Case when $q = q' = 1$. This is the case of a one irreducible drift vector. Here $m_1 = m'_1 = n$, $f_1 = f_2 \cdots = f_n = \bar{a}_{[1;n]} = \frac{a_1 + \cdots + a_n}{n}$. Using Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \;=\; Ch(\boldsymbol{x}) t^{-\alpha} e^{-\gamma t}(1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{0<u<v\leq n} (a_u - a_v)^2\right),$$

$$\alpha = \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{2},$$

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad = \quad e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{j-1}\right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} C \quad = \quad & (2\pi)^{-n/2}\sqrt{2\pi n} c_n \\ & \times \underset{\xi_i>0:i=1,2,\ldots,n-1}{\int\cdots\int} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\leq m_l}(\xi_u+\cdots+\xi_{v-1})(a_u-a_v)\right)} \\ & \qquad \times H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[1;n-1]})\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{d}\xi_i \ . \end{aligned}$$

We now analyze a remaining situation for $n = 3$.

Example 5 ($a_1 > a_2$ and $\frac{a_1+a_2}{2} < a_3$). This is the case of two subsequences. Thus $q = 2,\ q' = 2$ and $m_1 = m_1' = 2,\ m_2 = m_2' = 3$. By Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \quad = \quad C h(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-\frac{t}{4}(a_2-a_1)^2} t^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \quad &= \quad \frac{(a_2-a_1)^2}{4}, \\ \alpha \quad &= \quad \frac{3}{2}, \\ h(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad &= \quad e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \begin{vmatrix} e^{x_1\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} & e^{x_1\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} x_1 & e^{x_1 a_3} \\ e^{x_2\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} & e^{x_2\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} x_2 & e^{x_2 a_3} \\ e^{x_3\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} & e^{x_3\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}} x_3 & e^{x_3 a_3} \end{vmatrix}, \\ C \quad &= \quad (2\pi)^{-3/2}\sqrt{2\pi 3}\frac{1}{(a_1-a_2)^2}\sqrt{3\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Auxiliary results.

For the proof we need a set of lemmas and propositions, presented in subsections below.

5.1 Useful lemmas.

We need a few technical lemmas, which we state without proofs.

Lemma 5.1 *For* $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\bar{a}_{[1;m]} - a_i\right)^2 = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{1\leq u<v\leq m}(a_u-a_v)^2.$$

Lemma 5.2 *For* $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i\left(\bar{a}_{[1;m]} - a_i\right) = \frac{2}{m}\sum_{u<v}(z_v-z_u)(a_u-a_v).$$

The proof of the following lemma follows easily from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

Lemma 5.3 *For $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{f} \in R^n$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}$ is is a vector obtained from the stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$, and $\boldsymbol{z} \in R^n$, we have*

$$|\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t} - \boldsymbol{a}\sqrt{t} + \boldsymbol{z}|^2 = |\boldsymbol{z}|^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{q} \Bigg(\frac{t}{\nu_l} \sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l} (a_u - a_v)^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l} \sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l} (z_v - z_u)(a_u - a_v) \Bigg).$$

Lemma 5.4

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$(\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & & & & & \\ n-2 & 2(n-2) & & & & \\ n-3 & 2(n-3) & 3(n-3) & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-1 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $(\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1}$ is symmetric.

By Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) \quad = \quad (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-<\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{x}>-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} \int_W e^{-||\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{a}\sqrt{t}||^2/2} \det(e^{x_i y_j/\sqrt{t}})\, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\ .$$

We now introduce new variable $\boldsymbol{z}$ by

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t} + \boldsymbol{z},$$

where $\boldsymbol{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is a vector obtained from the stable partition of $\boldsymbol{a}$.

Finally we rewrite formula (2.4) in new variables by the use of Lemma 5.3:

Lemma 5.5

$$\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-<\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{x}>-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} e^{-\gamma t}$$

$$\int_{W-\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{|\boldsymbol{z}|^2}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l} \sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l} (z_v - z_u)(a_u - a_v)} \det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t} + f_j)}\right] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}. \quad (5.16)$$

5.2 Asymptotic behavior of determinant.

The following lemma is an extension of Lemma 2 from Puchała [9].

We define functions

$$g_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{\det[z_i^{k_j}]}{\det[z_i^{j-1}]},$$

for $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $0 \le k_1 < \cdots < k_n$ Functions g corresponds to Schur functions $g_{\boldsymbol{k}} = s_{\boldsymbol{k}-(0,1,\ldots,n)}$; see e.g. Macdonald [8], Ch. 1.3.

Lemma 5.6 *Let* $k_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \binom{\nu'_j}{2}$

$$\det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t}+f_j)}\right] \quad = \quad \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} t^{-k/2} T_k \quad , \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_k \quad &= \quad \prod_{j=1}^{q'} \Delta\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{j-1},m'_l]}\right) \\ &\times \quad \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_1<\cdots<k_{m'_1}; \\ \vdots \ldots \vdots \\ k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}<\cdots<k_{m'_{q'}}}} \frac{g_{\boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_0,m'_1]}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_0,m'_1]})}{k_1! \ldots k_{m'_1}!} \cdots \frac{g_{\boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_{q'-1},m'_{q'}]}}(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{q'-1},m'_{q'}]})}{k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}! \ldots k_{m'_{q'}}!} \det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{k_j}\right] \ . \end{aligned}$$

In particular as $t \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} &\det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t}+f_j)}\right] = \\ &= \quad t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{q'}\binom{\nu'_j}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{q'} c_{\nu'_j} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{j-1},m'_l]}) \\ &\times \quad \det\left[e^{x_k f_j} x_k^{\sum_l^{q'}(j-m_{i_{l-1}}-1)\mathbf{1}_{\{m_{i_{l-1}}<j\le m_{i_l}\}}}\right](1+o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By S_n we denote the group of permutations on n-set. We write

$$\begin{aligned} &\det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t}+f_j)}\right] = \\ &= \quad \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} (-1)^{\sigma} e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} e^{\sum x_i z_{\sigma(i)}/\sqrt{t}} \\ &= \quad \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} (-1)^{\sigma} e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{-k/2}(x_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + x_n z_{\sigma(n)})^k/k! \\ &= \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{-k/2}}{k!} \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} (-1)^{\sigma} e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} (x_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + x_n z_{\sigma(n)})^k. \end{aligned}$$

Now the coefficient at $t^{-k/2}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
T_k &= T_k(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} (x_1 z_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + x_n z_{\sigma(n)})^k \\
&= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} \sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k} \frac{k!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} (x_1 z_{\sigma(1)})^{k_{\sigma(1)}} \dots (x_n z_{\sigma(n)})^{k_{\sigma(n)}} \\
&= \sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k} \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma e^{\sum x_i f_{\sigma(i)}} (x_1 z_{\sigma(1)})^{k_{\sigma(1)}} \dots (x_n z_{\sigma(n)})^{k_{\sigma(n)}} \\
&= \sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k} \frac{\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{k}}}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \det[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{k_j}].
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$f_1 = \cdots = f_{m'_1} < f_{m'_1+1} = \cdots = f_{m'_2} < \cdots < f_{m'_{q'-1}+1} = \cdots = f_{m'_{q'}}.$$

If $k_i = k_j$ and $f_i = f_j$, then the determinant $\det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{k_j}\right]$ is 0. Thus we have non-zero determinant if k_i are different for those i such that f_i are equal. Thus index k such that T_k is non-zero must be at least

$$k = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j \geq k_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \binom{\nu'_j}{2},$$

Moreover we get all nonzero $\det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{k_j}\right]$ putting in each subsequence

$$(\boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_0, m'_1]}, \dots, \boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_{q'-1}, m'_{q'}]}) \ ,$$

all possible permutations of strictly ordered numbers from $\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that all sum up to k. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_k \;=\; & \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_1<\cdots<k_{m'_1}; \\ \vdots \dots \vdots \\ k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}<\cdots<k_{m'_{q'}}}} \ \sum_{\sigma_1 \in S_{\nu'_1}} \cdots \sum_{\sigma_{q'} \in S_{\nu'_{q'}}} \frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_0, m'_1]}^{\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_0, m'_1]})}}{k_1! \dots k_{m'_1}!} \cdots \frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{q'-1}, m'_{q'}]}^{\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{k}_{(m'_{q'-1}, m'_{q'}]})}}{k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}! \dots k_{m'_{q'}}!} \\
& \times \det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{\sum_{l=1}^{q'} \sigma_l(k_j) 1_{m'_{l-1}<j\leq m'_l}}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Again we notice that permutations in the determinant influence only by the change of sign. These signs and sums over the group of permutations form determinants, thus we have

$$T_k \;=\; \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_n=k \\ k_1<\cdots<k_{m'_1}; \\ \vdots \dots \vdots \\ k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}<\cdots<k_{m'_{q'}}}} \frac{\det\left[\left\{z_i^{k_j}\right\}_{i,j=1}^{m'_1}\right]}{k_1! \dots k_{m'_1}!} \cdots \frac{\det\left[\left\{z_i^{k_j}\right\}_{i,j=m'_{q'-1}+1}^{m'_{q'}}\right]}{k_{m'_{q'-1}+1}! \dots k_{m'_{q'}}!} \det\left[e^{x_i f_j} x_i^{k_j}\right] \ .$$

$\square$

Remark. Using Itzykson–Zuber integral (see e.g. [6]) we can write

$$\frac{\det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t}+f_j)}\right]}{\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})\Delta(\boldsymbol{z}/\sqrt{t}+\boldsymbol{f})} = c_n \int_{\boldsymbol{U}(n)} e^{\mathrm{Tr}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{x})U\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{z}/\sqrt{t}+\boldsymbol{f})U^*} \mu(\,\mathrm{d}U)\ ,$$

where $\mu(\,\mathrm{d}U)$ is (normalized) Haar measure on the unitary group $\boldsymbol{U}(n)$. Now letting $t \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\boldsymbol{U}(n)} e^{\mathrm{Tr}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{x})U\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{z}/\sqrt{t}+\boldsymbol{f})U^*} \mu(\,\mathrm{d}U) \quad &\to \quad \int_{\boldsymbol{U}(n)} e^{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{x})U\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{f})U^*)} \mu(\,\mathrm{d}U) \\ &= \quad \frac{\det\left[e^{x_i f_j}\right]}{\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})\Delta(\boldsymbol{f})}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{z}/\sqrt{t}+\boldsymbol{f}) = t^{-\sum_{i=1}^{q'}\binom{\nu'_i}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{q'} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{i-1};m'_i]}) \prod_{1\le k<l\le n} (f^l - f^k)^{\nu'_k\nu'_l}(1+o(1))\ .$$

Hence, as $t \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}&\det\left[e^{x_i(z_j/\sqrt{t}+f_j)}\right] \\ &\qquad \to t^{-\sum_{i=1}^{q'}\binom{\nu'_i}{2}} c_n \prod_{i=1}^{q'} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{i-1};m'_i]}) \prod_{1\le k<l\le n} (f^l - f^k)^{\nu'_k\nu'_l} \frac{\det\left[e^{x_i f_j}\right]}{\Delta(\boldsymbol{f})}\ .\end{aligned}$$

This is a less detailed version of the formula from Lemma 5.6.

6 Proof of the Theorem.

Using (5.17) and formula (5.16) we write

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tau > t) = \\ &= \quad (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} e^{-\gamma t} \\ &\qquad \times \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_{W-\boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2} e^{-\frac{1^2}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l}(z_u-z_v)(a_v-a_u)\right)} t^{-k/2} T_k(\boldsymbol{z}) \quad \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\end{aligned} \quad (6{,}18)$$

First we will analyze above expression by taking only the first term in the sum (6.18), and then we show that it gives the right asymptotic. Thus the first term equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} e^{-\gamma t} \int_{W - \boldsymbol{f}\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\boldsymbol{z}|^2} \\
& \qquad \times e^{-\frac{1^2}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l} \sum_{m_{l-1} < u < v \leq m_l} (z_u - z_v)(a_v - a_u) \right)} \\
& \qquad \times e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \prod_{j=1}^{q'} c_{\nu'_j} \Delta(\boldsymbol{z}_{(m'_{j-1}; m'_j]}) \\
& \quad \times \det \left[e^{x_k f_j} x_k^{\sum_l^{q'} (j - m_{i_{l-1}} - 1) \mathbf{1}_{\{m_{i_{l-1}} < j \leq m_{i_l}\}}} \right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}k_0} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} \\
= \; & (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-||\boldsymbol{x}||^2/2t} e^{-\gamma t} \\
& \qquad \times e^{-<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a}>} \det \left[e^{x_k f_j} x_k^{\sum_l^{q'} (j - m_{i_{l-1}} - 1) \mathbf{1}_{\{m_{i_{l-1}} < j \leq m_{i_l}\}}} \right] t^{-\frac{1}{2}k_0} \\
& \qquad \times \prod_{j=1}^{q'} c_{\nu'_j} \, I(\boldsymbol{a}, t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $I(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ was introduced in (4.12).

6.1 Asymptotic behavior of integral.

If $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{z}$, where

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

than $z_u - z_v = s_v + s_{v+1} + \cdots + s_{u-1}$ and

$$|\boldsymbol{z}|^2 = \boldsymbol{z}^T \boldsymbol{z} = (\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{s})^T (\boldsymbol{A}^{-1}\boldsymbol{s}) = \boldsymbol{s}^T (\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{s}.$$

Hence by Lemma 5.4 we have

$$|\boldsymbol{z}|^2 = \frac{1}{n} s_n^2 + \boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}^T ((\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1})_{(n)} \boldsymbol{s}_{(n)},$$

where $\boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{s}$ by deleting the n^{th} coordinate and $\boldsymbol{A}_{(n)}$ is matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ without n^{th} row and n^{th} column.

After substitution $\boldsymbol{s} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{z}$, integral $I(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} I(\boldsymbol{a},t) &= \underset{\substack{s_i > (f_i - f_{i+1})\sqrt{t}, \\ \text{for } i=1,\ldots,n-1}}{\int \cdots \int} \int_{s_n \in R} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{s_n^2}{n} + \boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}^T((\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1})_{(n)} \boldsymbol{s}_{(n)})} \\ &\qquad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\leq m_l}(s_u + \cdots + s_{v-1})(a_u - a_v)\right)} \qquad (6.19) \\ &\qquad \times \prod_{k=1}^{q'} H(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m'_{k-1};m'_k)}\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}\ \mathrm{d}s_n \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi n} \underset{\substack{s_i > (f_i - f_{i+1})\sqrt{t}, \\ \text{for } i=1,\ldots,n-1}}{\int \cdots \int} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}^T((\boldsymbol{A}^{-1})^T \boldsymbol{A}^{-1})_{(n)} \boldsymbol{s}_{(n)})} \\ &\qquad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{t}}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\leq m_l}(s_u + \cdots + s_{v-1})(a_u - a_v)\right)} \qquad (6.20) \\ &\qquad \times \prod_{k=1}^{q'} H(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)})\ \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s}_{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to notice that the second exponent in integral $I(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ in (6.19) depends only on those s_i, where $i \notin \{m_1, \ldots m_q\}$. We also see that if $m_{i-1} < k < m_i$, then the coefficient at s_k in (6.19) is

$$-\frac{\sqrt{t}}{m_i - m_{i-1}}(m_i - k)(k - m_{i-1})\left(\frac{a_{m_{i-1}+1} + \cdots + a_i}{i - m_{i-1}} - \frac{a_{i+1} + \cdots + a_{m_i}}{m_i - i}\right)$$

and it is strictly negative by the definition of the stable partition. Note also that polynomials H in integral $I(\boldsymbol{a}, t)$ depends only on s_j, where $j \notin \{m'_1, \ldots, m'_{q'}\}$.

We now introduce new variables $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1})$ by

$$\xi_j = \begin{cases} \sqrt{t}s_j, & \text{for } j \neq m_i,\ j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, q-1 \\ s_j, & \text{for } j = m_i,\ j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, q-1. \end{cases} \qquad (6.21)$$

We define function K by $K\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)}, t\right) = H\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)}\right)$.

Consider now $H\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{(1;m'_1)}\right)$. Since $\boldsymbol{m}'$ is a subsequence of $\boldsymbol{m}$, we recall that i_1 is such that $m_{i_1} = m'_1$. Similarly are defined $i_1, \ldots, i_{q'}$. We now factorize $H\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{(1;m'_1)}\right)$ into parts in which there in none of m_i, where is exactly one m_i, exactly two and so on. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
H\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m_0;m'_1)}\right) &= \prod_{k=1}^{i_1} H\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m_{k-1};m_k)}\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-1} \prod_{\substack{m_{k-1}<i\leq m_k \\ m_k<j\leq m_{k+1}}} (s_i+\cdots+s_{j-1}) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-2} \prod_{\substack{m_{k-1}<i\leq m_k \\ m_{k+1}<j\leq m_{k+2}}} (s_i+\cdots+s_{j-1}) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{m_0<i\leq m_1 \\ m_{i_1-1}<j\leq m_{i_1}}} (s_i+\cdots+s_{j-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We make analogous factorization for other $H(\boldsymbol{s}_{(m_{k-1};m_k)})$.

Lemma 6.1 *As* $t\to\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{q'} K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)},t) &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{\nu_i}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{q} H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{i-1};m_i)}) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} \prod_{\substack{i:\{i,i+1,\ldots,i+k\} \\ \in\{1,\ldots,q\}\setminus\{i_1,\ldots,i_{q'}\}}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \xi_{m_{i+j}}\right)^{\nu_i\nu_{i+k+1}} (1+o(1))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. After the substitution we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)},t) &= \prod_{k=1}^{i_1} H\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{k-1};m_k)}/\sqrt{t}\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-1} \prod_{\substack{m_{k-1}<i\leq m_k \\ m_k<j\leq m_{k+1}}} \left(\sum_{r=i,r\neq m_k}^{j-1} \xi_r/\sqrt{t}+\xi_{m_k}\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-2} \prod_{\substack{m_{k-1}<i\leq m_k \\ m_{k+1}<j\leq m_{k+2}}} \left(\sum_{r=i,r\notin\{m_k,m_{k+1}\}}^{j-1} \xi_r/\sqrt{t}+\xi_{m_k}+\xi_{m_{k+1}}\right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{m_0<i\leq m_1 \\ m_{i_1-1}<j\leq m_{i_1}}} \left(\sum_{r=i,r\notin\{m_1,\ldots,m_{i_1-1}\}}^{j-1} \xi_r/\sqrt{t}+\sum_{k=1}^{i_1-1}\xi_{m_k}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that asymptotic behavior of the above expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)},t) &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{i_1}\binom{\nu_i}{2}}\prod_{k=1}^{i_1} H\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{k-1};m_k)}\right) \\
&\times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-1}(\xi_{m_k})^{\nu_k\nu_{k+1}} \\
&\times \prod_{k=1}^{i_1-2}(\xi_{m_k}+\xi_{m_{k+1}})^{\nu_k\nu_{k+2}} \\
&\vdots \\
&\times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i_1-1}\xi_{m_k}\right)^{\nu_1\nu_{i_1}} \quad (1+o(1)).
\end{aligned}
$$

In result the whole polynomial is asymptotically

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{q'} K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1};m_k)},t) &= t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{\nu_i}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{q} H\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{i-1};m_i)}\right) \\
&\times \prod_{k=0}^{q-1}\ \prod_{\substack{i:\{i,i+1,\dots,i+k\}\\ \in\{1,\dots,q\}\setminus\{i_1,\dots,i_{q'}\}}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}\xi_{m_{i+j}}\right)^{\nu_i\nu_{i+k+1}} \quad (1+o(1)).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

For substitution (6.21), we have $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s}_{(n)} = t^{-(n-q)/2}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\xi}$. Note that $f_{k+1} = f_k$ for $k \neq m_i$, and hence the integration on the k^{th} coordinate starts from 0. On the other hand if $k = m_i$ for some i, and $k \neq m'_j$ for every j, then we also have $f_{k+1} = f_k$ and therefore the integration starts from 0. Finally if $k = m_{i_j}$ for some j, then $f_{k+1} > f_k$ and the integrations starts from $(f_k - f_{k+1})\sqrt{t}$. Hence we have after the substitution

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\boldsymbol{a},t) &= t^{-(n-q)/2}\sqrt{2\pi n} \int\!\!\cdots\!\!\int_{\substack{\xi_j>(f_j-f_{j+1})\sqrt{t},\\ \text{for } i=1,\dots,n-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k,l\in\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l\right)} \\
&\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k,l\notin\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l/t + 2\sum_{k\in\{m_1,\dots,m_q\},l\notin\{m_1,\dots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l/\sqrt{t}\right)} \\
&\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1}<u<v\le m_l}(\xi_u+\cdots+\xi_{v-1})(a_u-a_v)\right)} \\
&\times \prod_{k=1}^{q'} K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)},t)\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\xi}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can clearly see that $\prod_{k=1}^{q'} K(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m'_{k-1},m'_k)},t)$ depends only on ξ_i's such that $i \notin \{m_{l_1},\dots,m_{l_{q'}}\}$ and it can be factorized into a part which depends only on $i \notin \{m_1,\dots,m_q\}$

and a part that depends on $i \in \{m_1, \ldots, m_q\} \setminus \{m_{l_1}, \ldots, m_{l_{q'}}\}$. Thus finally we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I(\boldsymbol{a}, t) &= t^{-(n-q)/2}\sqrt{2\pi n} \underset{\substack{\xi_i > (f_i - f_{i+1})\sqrt{t} \\ \text{for } i=1,\ldots,n-1}}{\int \cdots \int} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k,l \in \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{k,l \notin \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l/t + 2\sum_{k \in \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}, l \notin \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l/\sqrt{t})} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1} < u < v \le m_l}(\xi_u + \cdots + \xi_{v-1})(a_u - a_v)\right)} \\ &\quad \times t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{\nu_i}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{q} H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{i-1};m_i)}, t) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} \prod_{\substack{i:\{i,i+1,\ldots,i+k\} \\ \in \{1,\ldots,q\}\setminus\{i_1,\ldots,i_{q'}\}}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \xi_{m_{i+j}}\right)^{\nu_i \nu_{i+k+1}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\xi}\ (1 + o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I(\boldsymbol{a}, t) &= t^{-(n-q)/2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{\nu_i}{2}} \sqrt{2\pi n} \\ &\quad \times \underset{\substack{\xi_i > 0 : i=1,\ldots,n-1 \\ i \notin \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}}}{\int \cdots \int} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\nu_l}\sum_{m_{l-1} < u < v \le m_l}(\xi_u + \cdots + \xi_{v-1})(a_u - a_v)\right)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{q} H(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(m_{i-1};m_i)}) \prod_{i \notin \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} \mathrm{d}\xi_i \\ &\quad \times \underset{\xi_i > 0 : i \in \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}\setminus\{m_{l_1},\ldots,m_{l_{q'}}\}}{\int \cdots \int} \ \underset{\xi_i > -\infty : i \in \{m_{l_1},\ldots,m_{l_{q'}}\}}{\int \cdots \int} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{k,l \in \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} S_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l\right)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} \prod_{\substack{i:\{i,i+1,\ldots,i+k\} \\ \in \{1,\ldots,q\}\setminus\{l_1,\ldots,l_{q'}\}}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \xi_{m_{i+j}}\right)^{\nu_i \nu_{i+k+1}} \prod_{i \in \{m_1,\ldots,m_q\}} \mathrm{d}\xi_i\ (1 + o(1))\ . \end{aligned} \qquad (6.22)$$

Concluding we have

$$I(\boldsymbol{a}, t) = C_1 t^{-(n-q)/2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\binom{\nu_i}{2}}(1 + o(1)),$$

where C_1 depends only on drift vector $\boldsymbol{a}$.

6.2 Proof of Theorem 4.2.

Following considerations of Section 6.1, notice first that it suffices to take the first term from the sum (6.18) for asymptotic analysis because next terms consists of positive rank polynomials of variable $\boldsymbol{z}$ and therefore they will tend to zero faster after substitution (6.21). For the proof of the main theorem we have to plug the asymptotics (6.22) to integral (6.18).

References

[1] S. Asmussen (2003) *Applied Probability and Queues.* Second Ed., Springer , New York.

[2] Ph. Biane, Ph. Bougerol and N. O'Connell (2005) Littelmann paths and Brownian paths. *Duke Math. J.* **130**, 127–167.

[3] A.N. Borodin and P. Salminen (2002) *Handbook of Brownian Motion - Facts and Formulae.* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.

[4] Y. Doumerc, N. O'Connell (2005) Exit problems associated with finite reflection groups. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **132**, 501 - 538

[5] D.J. Grabiner, Brownian motion in a Weyl chamber, non-colliding particles, and random matrices. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Probab. Statist.***35** (1999), 177-204.

[6] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber (1980) The planar approximation II. *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 411–421 .

[7] S. Karlin and J. McGregor (1959) Coincidence probabilities. *Pacific J. Math.* 1141–1164.

[8] I.G. Macdonald, *Symetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Clarendon Press (1979), Oxford.

[9] Z. Puchała (2005) A proof of Grabiner theorem on non-colliding particles. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics* **25**, 129–132.

[10] Z. Puchała and T. Rolski (2005) The exact asymptotics of the time to collison. *Electronic Journal of Probability* **10**, 1359–1380.